

Sector comment: Healthcare



Maxim Jacobs, CFA
Senior Healthcare Analyst
mjacobs@edisongroup.com
+1 646 653 7027

Healthcare Analyst Team

Christian Glennie	+44 (0)20 3077 5727
Lala Gregorek	+44 (0)20 3681 2527
Hans Bostrom	+44 (0)20 3681 2522
Dr Philippa Gardner	+44 (0)20 3681 2521
Franc Gregori	+44 (0)20 3077 5728
Dr Charlotte Hetzel	+44 (0)20 3077 5700
Dr John Savin	+44 (0)20 3077 5735
Dr Lucy Codrington	+44 (0)20 3681 2527
Emma Ulker	+44 (0)20 3077 5738
Maxim Jacobs	+1 646 653 7027
Katherine Genis	+1 646 653 7026
George Magrath	+1 646 653 7026
Pooya Hemami	+1 646 653 7026
Dennis Hulme	+61 (0)2 9258 1161

healthcare@edisongroup.com

ASCO 2015 – key takeaways

The annual American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) meeting traditionally provides a snapshot of the most exciting clinical programs and science in the field of oncology, a disease category where around 40% of biopharmaceutical research is focused. After three and a half days of clinical/scientific presentations and conversations with key opinion leaders and community oncologists, you get a great sense of where the treatment of cancer is headed.

This year's event in Chicago, not surprisingly, was focused on the area of immuno-oncology, which has already transformed the treatment of melanoma and is in the process of transforming segments of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Here are some of the key takeaways on this and perhaps a more surprising result with chemotherapy in prostate cancer.

In the CheckMate-017 trial of Opdivo (nivolumab), an anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody, compared to docetaxel in patients with second-line squamous cell NSCLC, there was a 3.2 month improvement in median overall survival (9.2 vs 6.0, p=0.00025). Importantly, this was achieved with a much cleaner toxicology profile with only 7% of patients with Opdivo reporting grade 3-5 adverse events compared to 57% in the docetaxel arm. Surprisingly, response to therapy was not correlated with PD-L1 expression levels.

In CheckMate-067, a trial of Opdivo plus Yervoy (ipilimumab) in advanced melanoma patients, Opdivo plus Yervoy showed a 4.6 month improvement in median progression free survival (PFS) compared to Opdivo alone (11.5 months vs 6.9 months) and a 8.6 month advantage compared to Yervoy (11.5 months vs 2.9 months). However, the toxicity profile deteriorated significantly in the combination arm: grade 3-4 adverse events were 5.1% in the Opdivo arm; 13.2% in the Yervoy arm; and a whopping 55% in the combination arm, while 29.4 % of combination patients had to discontinue treatment due to the severity of the adverse events. Contrary to CheckMate-017, response did correlate with PD-1 levels; clearly more work needs to be done on understanding the predictive value of PD-L1 expression and its relevance to each anti-PD-1 antibody candidate.

While the data are exciting, practicing oncologists believe actual use will be determined by reimbursement issues. Insurers are likely going to be very selective on who they approve to receive therapy, requiring full FDA approval instead of compendia listing in making reimbursement decisions; \$300,000 per patient for combination therapy is simply a very big ticket.

Perhaps one of the most interesting and surprising studies presented at ASCO actually had nothing to do with immuno-oncology and starred chemotherapy. In the STAMPEDE trial which investigated docetaxel plus standard of care (SOC) in hormone-naïve prostate cancer, the docetaxel+SOC combination demonstrated a 10-month survival advantage (77 months vs 67 months, p=0.003) vs SOC alone. Importantly, within the M1 subgroup (those with distant metastases) there was a 22-month survival benefit (65 months vs 43 months, p=0.002). We suggest these results have the potential to change the treatment paradigm for hormone-naïve prostate cancer patients based on key opinion-leader feedback on the data.

09 June 2015 1



Edison, the investment intelligence firm, is the future of investor interaction with corporates. Our team of over 100 analysts and investment professionals work with leading companies, fund managers and investment banks worldwide to support their capital markets activity. We provide services to more than 400 retained corporate and investor clients from our offices in London, New York, Frankfurt, Sydney and Wellington. Edison is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (https://www.fsa.gov.uk/register/fimBasicDetails.do/said=181584. Edison Investment Research (NZ) Limited (Edison NZ) is the New Zealand Financial Service Providers Register (FSP number 247505) and is registered to provide wholesale and/or generic financial adviser services only. Edison Investment Research Inc (Edison US) is the US subsidiary of Edison and is regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission. Edison Investment Research Limited (Edison Aus) [4608569] is the Australian subsidiary of Edison and is not regulated by the Australian Securities and Investment Commission. Edison Germany is a branch entity of Edison Investment Research Limited [4794244]. www.edisongroup.com

Copyright 2014 Edison Investment Research Limited. All rights reserved. This report has been prepared and issued by Edison for publication globally. All information used in the publication of this report has been compiled from publicly available sources that are believed to be reliable, however we do not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this report. Opinions contained in this report represent those of the research department of Edison at the time of publication. The securities described in the Investment Research may not be eligible value and any access to it, is intended only for "wholesale clients" within the meaning of the Australian Corporations Act. The Investment Research is distributed in the United States by Edison US to major US institutional investors only. Edison US is registered as an investment adviser with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Edison US relies upon the "publishers" exclusion" from the definition of investment adviser under Section 202(a)(11) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and corresponding state securities laws. As such, Edison US relies upon the "publishers" exclusion" from the definition of investment adviser under Section 202(a)(11) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and corresponding state securities laws. As such, Edison does not offer or provide personalised advice. We publish information or fellects our sincere opinions. The information that we provide or that is derived from our website is not intended to be, and should not be construed in any manner whatsoever as, personalised advice. Also, our website and the information provided by us should not be construed by any subscriber or prospective subscriber as Edison's solicitation to effect, or attempt to effect, any transaction in security. The research in this document is intended for New Zealand resident professional financial advisers or brokers (for use in their roles as financial advisers or brokers) and habitual investors who are "wholesale clients" for the purpose of the Financial Advisers Act